# Annual Program Plan/Review Assessment—Instructional Planning Committee

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Program Name: Music | Division: |
| Date Reviewed: |

The purpose of this form is to provide feedback on the quality of the program review to the Department/Program.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Instructional Program Review | Performance Level | | |  |
|  | **Commendations** | **Recommendations** | **Comments** | **ACCJC Exemplary Example** |
| Executive Summary | | | |  |
| Please summarize your program’s strengths, opportunities/challenges, and action plans. This information will be presented to the Board of Trustees. | Provided:  ◻ Summary of strengths  X Summary of opportunities/challenges  ◻ Summary of action plans  ◻ Thorough summary | Information needed:  X Summary of strengths  ◻ Summary of opportunities/challenges  X Summary of action plans | We would have liked an overview of what Music offers as well as the direction going forward in the upcoming year in relation to courses. | ◻ |
| Program Context | | | |  |
| 1. Mission: | X Mission provided | ◻ Mission needed | Very good mission! Evocative, makes us want to learn more about music theory. | X |
| 1. Articulation: Describe how your program’s articulation may be impacted by changes in curriculum and degree requirements at high schools and 4-year institutions. Describe your efforts to accommodate these changes. | Provided:  X Evidence  X Analysis  X Impact on program  X Efforts to make changes | Information needed:  ◻ Evidence  ◻ Analysis  ◻ Impact on program  ◻ Efforts to make changes | ◻ No recommendation or change needed  ◻ Not applicable  Very good description of challenges to program growth. | ◻ |
| 1. Community and Labor Needs: Describe how changes in community needs, employment needs, technology, licensing, or accreditation affect your program. CTE programs should identify the dates of their advisory group meetings. | Provided description of:  ◻ Community needs  ◻ Employment needs  ◻ Technology needs  ◻ Licensing  ◻ Accreditation  ◻ Impact on program | Information needed:  X Community needs  X Employment needs  X Technology needs  X Licensing  X Accreditation  X Impact on program | ◻ No recommendation or change needed  ◻ Not applicable  It would have nice to hear what has been done to provide outreach to the community, or what could be done. A more thorough answer would be useful. | ◻ |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Instructional Program Review | Performance Level | | |  |
|  | **Commendations** | **Recommendations** | **Comments** | **ACCJC Exemplary Example** |
| Looking Back | | | |  |
| 1. Curricular Changes: List any significant changes that have occurred in your program’s curricular offerings, scheduling, or mode of delivery. Explain the rationale for these changes. | Provided:  X List of changes that occurred  X Rationale for changes | Information needed:  ◻ List of changes that occurred  ◻ Rationale for changes | X No recommendation or change needed  ◻ Not applicable  Responsive to student demand. | ◻ |
| 5A. Progress Report—IPC Feedback: Provide your responses to all recommendations received in your last program review cycle | Provided:  ◻ Response to all recommendations | Information needed:  ◻ Response to all recommendations | ◻ No recommendation or change needed  X Not applicable | ◻ |
| 5B. Progress Report—Prior Action Plans: Provide a summary of the progress you have made on the strategic action plans identified in your last program review. | Provided:  X Summary of progress | Information needed:  ◻ Summary of progress | ◻ No recommendation or change needed  ◻ Not applicable | ◻ |
| 6A. Impact of Resource Applications: Describe the impact to-date that new resources (equipment, facilities, research) requested in prior years' program reviews have had on your program. If measurable impacts on student success have been observed, be sure to describe these and include any documentation/evidence. If no resources have been recently requested, please write “not applicable”. | Provided:  X Thorough description of new resources’ impact on program  X Thorough description of impact on students  X Efforts to make changes | Information needed:  ◻ Further description of new resources’ impact on program  ◻ Further description of impact on students  ◻ Efforts to make changes | ◻ Not Applicable | ◻ |
| 6B. Impact of Staffing Changes: Describe the impact on your program of any changes in staffing levels (for example, the addition, loss or reassignment of faculty/staff). If no changes have occurred, please write "not applicable". | Provided:  ◻ Thorough description of staffing changes’ impact on program | Information needed:  ◻ Further description of staffing changes’ impact on program | X Not Applicable | ◻ |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Instructional Program Review | Performance Level | | | |
|  | **Commendations** | **Recommendations** | **Comments** | **ACCJC Exemplary Example** |
| Current State of the Program | | | | |
| 7A. Connection & Entry--Observation: Describe trends in program and course enrollments, FTES, LOAD and Fill Rates. Cite quantitative data and identify the specific tables from the data packets. If other sources of data are used, please upload these documents or provide URLs. | Provided:  ◻ Thorough description of trends in all identified areas  ◻ Quantitative evidence from data packets | Information needed:  X Further description of trends in all identified areas  X Quantitative evidence from data packets | ◻ No recommendation or change needed  We would have liked more information on non-CWA courses. | ◻ |
| 7B. Connection & Entry—Evaluation: What changes could be implemented, including changes to course scheduling (times/days/duration/delivery mode/number of sections), marketing, and articulation that may improve these trends in enrollment? | Identified:  ◻ Changes that could be implemented | Information needed:  X Changes that could be implemented | ◻ No recommendation or change needed  ◻ Not applicable  A strategic plan on what changes need to be implemented and discussed in the task force would be useful. | ◻ |
| 8A. Progress & Completion—Observation: Describe trends in student success and retention disaggregated by: ethnicity, gender, age, enrollment status, and day/evening. Cite quantitative data and specific tables from the data packets. If other sources of data are used, please upload these documents or provide URLs. | Provided:  X Thorough description of trends in all identified areas  X Quantitative evidence from data packets | Information needed:  ◻ Further description of trends in all identified areas  ◻ Quantitative evidence from data packets | X No recommendation or change needed  Well done! | ◻ |
| 8B. Progress & Completion Online—Observation: For online courses describe any significant differences in the success and retention of students who are taking online courses compared to face-to-face (f2f) courses. | Provided:  ◻ Description of differences compared to f2f courses | Information needed:  ◻ Description of differences compared to f2f courses | X Not applicable | ◻ |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Instructional Program Review | Performance Level | | | |
|  | **Commendations** | **Recommendations** | **Comments** | **ACCJC Exemplary Example** |
| 8C. Progress & Completion—Evaluation: Based on these trends, what do you feel are significant factors or barriers influencing student success in your courses and program? What changes (e.g. in curriculum, pedagogy, scheduling, modality) could be implemented to improve these trends? | Provided:  X Discussion of factors/barriers influencing student success  X Discussion of potential changes | Information needed:  ◻ Discussion of factors/barriers influencing student success  ◻ Discussion of potential changes | X No recommendation or change needed  ◻ Not applicable | ◻ |
| 9A. SLO Assessment—Compliance: Are all course SLOs being systematically assessed at least once/4 years? Describe the coordination of SLO assessment across sections and over time. | Provided:  ◻ Evidence that SLOs are assessed at least once/4 years  ◻ Coordination of assessment across sections and time is thorough | Information needed:  X Evidence SLOs are assessed at least once/4 years  X Description of assessment across sections and time | More detail would be nice, but it is clear assessing SLOS is a challenge to complete with one full-time faculty member. | ◻ |
| 10A. PLO Assessment—Plan: Describe your program’s Program Learning Outcomes assessment plan. | Provided:  ◻ Evidence of assessment plan  ◻ Thorough description of assessment plan is thorough | Information needed:  X Evidence of assessment plan  X Further description of assessment plan | We would like to know what the PLOs are. It is clear that these are challenging to complete without more assistance. | ◻ |
| 10B. PLO Assessment—Impact: Summarize the major findings of your program’s PLO assessments. What are some improvements that have been, or can be, implemented as a result of PLO assessment? | Provided:  X Summary of findings  X Thorough discussion of improvements | Information needed:  ◻ Summary of findings  ◻ Further discussion on improvements |  | ◻ |
| 9B. SLO Assessment—Impact: Summarize the major findings of your program’s SLO assessments. What are some improvements that have been, or can be, implemented as a result of SLO assessment? | Provided:  ◻ Summary of findings  ◻ Thorough discussion of improvements | Information needed:  X Summary of findings  X Further discussion on improvements | Assessing SLOs with one faculty member is difficult, often the benefits of assessing SLOs stems from a robust dialog with another colleague, which is not possible when a faculty member must work alone.  It would have been helpful to know which multiple methods were being evaluated. | ◻ |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Instructional Program Review | Performance Level | | | |
|  | **Commendations** | **Recommendations** | **Comments** | **ACCJC Exemplary Example** |
| Looking Ahead | | | | |
| 11. Personnel request: see SPOL for details | Not review by IPC | Not review by IPC |  |  |
| 12. Equipment, technology, and facilities requests: | Not review by IPC | Not review by IPC |  |  |
| 13. Strategic Action Plans:  Use this objective to describe the action plans that your program intends to implement. Describe your plan. Be sure to describe any research or training you will need to accomplish these plans. Then select PRIE and/or Professional Development in the "Units Impacted" section. | Provided:  ◻ Thorough description of action plans | Information needed:  X Further description of action plans | ◻ No recommendation or change needed | ◻ |

**Overall Commendations:**

You appear to be working diligently to plan for improvement.

**Overall Recommendations:**

You need a support team rather than a single person department. There is much hope for an Arts Task force to improve collaboration and support. The college support for the music program needs improvement, the faculty member is doing his best with the current situation.

**Overall Program Effectiveness:**

◻ Highly effective

X Effective

◻ Needs program improvement

**Dean’s perspective on the vitality of program:**

*See the executive summary under “Suggested Follow Up” in SPOL*

**Approval Process is embedded in SPOL (Approval from IPC chairs and VPs)**