



GUIDED PATHWAYS STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

Tuesday, February 2, 2021

Zoom Link: <https://smccd.zoom.us/j/97493036075?pwd=QWRMWDCzRzd0WTBBKzdhaVoyREl4dz09>

Regular Meeting: 2:30 – 4:30 p.m.

AGENDA ITEM	PRESENTER	PROCESS	TIME
Welcome & Overview	Co-Chairs VPSS Pérez & Dean Engel	Agenda overview	5 minutes
Scale of Adoption Assessment Review and Approval	Jamie Hui David Meckler Milena Angelova	<u>Action:</u> approve the SOAA template for submittal to PBC on Feb. 17, 2021 and then the CCCCCO by March 1, 2021.	30 minutes
Guided Pathways Evaluation	PRIE Team	Discussion: Informed by the SOAA, how will we evaluate the effectiveness of Guided Pathways?	10 minutes
INTEREST AREAS			
Interest Area Marketing and Communications	David Eck Megan Rodriguez-Antone Hyla Lacefield	Debrief meeting with Web Team: how are we marketing our Interest Areas (including recent changes to add certain programs of study to more than one IA) – websites, fliers, etc.	10 minutes
ESL Marketing vis-à-vis Interest Areas	David Eck	Discussion: ESL Dept requests and possible next steps	5 minutes
CRM – update on including Interest Areas as special programs in the CRM design and “discovery” process this spring	Max Hartman	Update	5 minutes

AGENDA ITEM	PRESENTER	PROCESS	TIME
ACADEMIC PATHWAYS			
Priority Engagement Program Orientation – by Interest Area (rolled over from last meeting)	Max Hartman Mayra Arellano Olivia Cortez-Figueroa		20 minutes
FIRST YEAR EXPERIENCE			
Colts-Con + Interest Area Threads IA leads joined the Colts-Con Planning Committee – they will report out about the planning process and what is still needed As a resource, this is the timeline that the COLTS-CON 2020 planning team used (before transitioning to an online modality): https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1onus9RE6JUjzt4-bJNYTYHoNQvVmo6rXv8DkIHzKdc/edit?usp=sharing	Mary Ho IA Faculty Leads	Information item	10 minutes
Career Exploration & FYE	Max Hartman Bob Haick	Discussion	10 minutes
SUCCESS TEAMS			
Sp 21 Pilot Assessment Combination from future agenda items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data presentation regarding evaluation in GP February? For spring pilot assessment? • Loop back to equity framework for new & returning Steering Committee members 	PRIE team	Discussion	20 minutes
Retention Specialists	Jamie Hui, PSC	Discussion	5 minutes

AGENDA ITEM	PRESENTER	PROCESS	TIME
		<u>Action:</u>	
Counselors	Max Hartman, Dean of Counseling	Discussion	5 minutes
Peer Mentors	David Reed	Discussion <u>Action:</u>	5 minutes
CALENDAR			
Calendar Template Review milestones: Career Exploration, Sp21 Success Team Pilot Assessment, FYE expansion	Co-Chairs VPSS Pérez & Dean Engel	Calendar Template Review milestones	5 minutes
FUTURE MEETING TOPICS			
Scale of Adoption due March 1, 2021 April 22, 2021 Flex Day Planning Invite Karrie Mitchell back at some point to help with “visioning” or inventing what the CRM functionality could look like for our Success Teams PRIE to bring results of 2019 CCSSE Guided Pathways survey results			

NEXT MEETING: February 16, 2021 via Zoom. Contact Debbie Joy for details.

ARCHIVE OF DECISIONS

Date	Decision Made
December 15, 2020	<p>Colts-con will be required of all new, first-time students. It will take place 2 weeks prior to the start of the semester. It will have plenary sessions – important for all – and then have break outs – including breakouts by Interest Areas.</p> <p>JAMS –</p>
December 15, 2020	<p>The criteria below were adopted when considering requests from Programs of Study to be included in more than one Interest Area. IA Faculty Leads will notify those programs affected.</p> <p>Faculty Leads will bring this decision to the Academic Senate (and the Curriculum Committee as needed)</p>
January 19, 2021	<p>Max will add Interest Areas (faculty leads in particular) to the list of special programs VP Karrie Mitchell will invite into the “discovery” phase of CRM design. IA leads will bring in Retention Specialists, Counselors and others to that process as needed.</p>
January 19, 2021	<p>IA leads will join the Colts-Con planning committee; and our antiracism framework/commitments will be included in the planning/workshops</p>

Possible standards/reasons for cross-listing a program in two different Interest Areas: (adopted by Steering Committee on Dec. 15, 2020)

1. Are there significant differences in CSU and UC pathways?
 - Example: As explained by Professor Roscelli, economics majors have very different pathways for CSUs and UCs. Economics in CSUs are business-oriented, while economics majors at UCs are aligned with the social sciences. Professor Roscelli shares that this difference is significant enough that students applying for the two different systems should have some significant differences in which courses that are best of taking. Competitive applications to UCs should have more advanced mathematics courses with much less emphasis on Business, and *vice versa* with economics students who plan to transfer to a CSU.
 - Having economics cross-listed in both Interest Areas would provide a visual signifier of this important difference by showing how varied the discipline and program of study is.

2. Would not cross-listing a course lead to potentially significant student confusion or lack of awareness about a program?
 - Example: currently the Paralegal Studies is in the Business Interest Area, while the Law, Public Policy, and Society AA-T is in Human Behavior and Culture. The split makes sense given the paths each degree leads into. But any student interested in one of these programs could very likely be interested in the other program. By separating them in different Interest Areas, a student could easily think the Paralegal Studies was the only law-focused degree offered at our college or conversely with a student who was considering the Law, Public Policy, and Society degree.