

Guided Pathways Steering Committee Notes Meeting Date: November 19th Meeting Time: 2:30pm-4:30pm

	Торіс	Discussion/Outcomes
2. I (Report outs Presentation from Diana Tedone- Goldstone on Academic Senate's updated process for reassigned time and coordination 	 2:30-2:35: Note taker 2:35-3:15: Diana Tedone-Goldstone presentation updated process for reassigned and coordination time VP Pérez: introducing President Tedone-Goldstone's presentation. Goal is to ensured shared understanding of scope of work for all members of Guided Pathways project. Also, ensuring transparency for re-assign time allocation. This means creating a framework for allocating reassign time. Challenge is to fit the special project of Guided Pathways into the established college process for re-assign time.
		 Academic Senate President Tedone-Goldstone and Instructional Planning Council Co-chair Kaven: general process for applying to re-assign time. Fall semester: apply for positions in the next academic year (both new re-assign time and renewed positions). This is meant to establish the positions that will have re-assign time. Spring semester: People apply to for re-assign-time positions. Special committee (temporary sub-committee of Academic Senate) composed of at least three people that will review applications for each re-assign position. This committee will then make recommendations on people for the positions to whichever VP has purview over the position. There are three types of re-assign time that do not require a new or renewal application. The three categories are covered on the Academic Senate website > Appointments > Reassign Time. Dean Engel: Could Guided Pathways count as example of re-assign time required by External Grant for completion? –Carol Rhodes: this determination would have be made by President, not Steering Committee.

Mission Statement

o Joint recommendation of Academic Senate and Instructional Planning Council: submit re-assign
application for the total amount of budgeted re-assign time. This would increase
awareness/transparency of the project to the rest of the project.
 Goal would be to submit this general application by December 6th.
 Pérez: the general proposal is intended as a maximum cap/upper limit on the funding, not a guarantee that that amount of re-assign time will actually be used.
 Kaven providing context: Academic Senate and Instructional Planning Council are trying to
better track all of the re-assign time allocated across the college. Better tracking increases the
opportunity for people to apply to a position that has re-assign time.
• VP Pérez: All new Guided Pathways re-assign time positions will go through college process.
• Pérez: need feedback from the Steering Committee on general ideas for how to spend the
Guided Pathways budget.
 Quevedo: Is there going to be a meeting where the Steering Committee reviews the budget?
 Pérez: Will bring budget details, which is publicly available. Also, will be presenting the Guided Pathways budget to Academic Senate on December 5th.
 Dean Engel: asking whether the described process can handle filling the two current vacancies. Pérez: hybrid process for the current vacancies: more expedited than the general college process but still have an advertised position and special committee review-recommendation for filling the positions.
 For current vacancies, the goal is to have the positions start by first day of Spring 2020 semester.
 Campus-wide announcement by this Monday, November 25th. Wiley, Quevedo, and Pérez will draft the announcement.
 Applications due by December 2nd.
 Special committee recommendation and application forwarding to V.P.S.S. submitted to the V.P.S.S. by December 6th.
 V.P.S.S. would want to make the final decision by December 13th.
 Goal is to then follow complete process for Fall 2020-2021: Request for New Reassignment
Proposal (Revisions to process can be made, if need be.).
 Proposal will need to be submitted to Office of Instruction by December 5th. This will
enable it to be forwarded to the Instructional Planning Council for review.
 Pérez: proposal should also be submitted to Student Services Planning Council as an information item.

Mission Statement

•	P.B.C. will not be ranking these positions because they are based on a special grant
	fund.

3. Future Structure of GP Steering Committee Part Two

3:15-4:30: Future Structure of GP Steering Committee Part Two:

- Guiding question: Does our original structure still work?
- What questions and concerns arise when revising the Strategic Priority Questions

Carol Rhodes: Can we look at the scope of work document in order to determine the number of re-assign positions needed for Spring? –**Pérez**: the scope of work document is too general to be useful for making this detailed a level of determination. We need to be flexible since we are still determining what the tasks in the Spring semester will be.

Rhodes: One specific possibility for re-assign time would be to allow a counselor for drafting program maps for part-time students (in particular, addressing pre-requisite sequence requirements that are prominent in science and engineering).

Wiley: Important that new members to Steering Committee are aware of the range of potential responsibilities that the position will require. It will be significantly more than just attending Tuesday meetings.

• Scope of work document will need enough detail to show the range of the different initiatives.

Ho: Doesn't it make sense to re-organize the Guided Pathways around the Interest Areas?

 Carrington: the CRM development will also impact the Guided Pathways efforts moving forward. There will need to be some continued/ongoing CRM-Business Process Analysis-Redesign College Process workgroup. This is because the CRM/BPA/RCP workgroup needs to continually monitor the new features implemented in the CRM, looking for connections to the Guided Pathways initiatives.

Mission Statement

 Pérez: the conversation shows that there needs to be some resource prioritization. Rhodes: one lower priority for Guided Pathways appears to be Distance Education, since Guided Pathways is not leading Distance Education efforts on campus. DeMello: sharing some Distance Education overlap with Guided Pathways efforts. One big Distance Education initiative is the CVC-OEI (California Virtual Campus – Open Education to Virtual Campus – Open
 Education Initiative). One item required in the application is how identifying how many programs are available fully online. DeMello noting that this is a difficult procedure.
 Wiley: better to shift toward Interest Area development since the workgroup efforts are interconnected. Eck: at least some of the workgroups will need to functionally exist because rather than just being absorbed into the Interest Area structure. Rico: some workgroups have a firmer deadline than others. Should list concrete goals and provide timeline to help "warm hand-offs" when there is a change in personnel. Rhodes: maintain some workgroups' individual meetings. And have representatives from each workgroup within the four Interest Areas. On this proposal, keep co-chairs leads, while distributing representatives to the each Interest Area.
Quevedo: asking for share outs on the Equity- and Diversity-Minded language revisions to our Strategic Answers.
 Redesign College Process: offered general narrative to consolidate the many detailed bullet points. Narrative does include more empowering language. Early College Experiences: added more detailed mentioning of student groups. More precise discussion of some elements: for example, Latinx students are not disportionately impacted in terms of applications. Interest Areas and Program Maps: Noted value of the two tools to help individuals who are unfamiliar/less familiar with higher education. Clarifying terms and requirements for things like, degrees.

Mission Statement

	 Academic Support/First-Year Experience: reworded to provide a lens/framework for individuals any will be implementing the initiatives. Read list of priorities that relate first-time college students. Distance Education: increase awareness/communication of programs of study that are available fully online. Rico: asking whether Guided Pathways should have a mission statement.
	 Quevedo: the general proposal is to restructure along Interest Areas, while maintaining workgroup leads within the new structure. Pérez: one general task for the Steering Committee is to firm up our understanding of terms, like Retention Specialists.
Upcoming Meetings: November 26 Bldg. 13-Rm. 116 December 3 Bldg. 2-Rm. 10 December 10 Bldg. 8-Rm. 119	

Steering Committee: Manuel Pérez, Marisol Quevedo, Maureen Wiley, Margie Carrington, Karen Engel, Denise Erickson, Nick DeMello, Mary Ho, Carol Rhodes, Chris Rico, David Eck Guests Attending: Margarita Baez, Julian Branch, Diana Tedone-Goldstone, Jessica Kaven

Mission Statement