

Cañada College B1N New Kinesiology and Wellness

Faculty and Staff Update

OCTOBER 12, 2016

Agenda

- Introduction
- •Where We've Been
- •Where We Are
- •Where We're Going
- •Questions



Where We've Been-High Level

- •BCA Architects Selected in 2013
 - Initial programming and schematic design
- •Lease-Leaseback (LLB) Delivery Method Selection 2014
- •California Supreme Court Ruling July 2015 LLB
- •Other Alternative Delivery Method Evaluation August 2015 to February 2016
- •Design-Build Delivery Method Selected February 2016
- •Request for Statements of Qualifications Issued May 2016
- •Request for Proposals Issued June 2016
- •Board of Trustees Approved Contract Award September 2016
- •Design Concept October 2016
- Program Validation Resumes November 2016



Where We've Been-Staff and Faculty

Programming and Design Meeting Dates

- 10/21/2013- Programming Meeting –Cañada Faculty
- 01/31/2014- Programming Meeting Cañada Faculty and Enterprise
- 09/29/2014- Conceptual Design Presentation Cañada Faculty
- 3/13/2015- Schematic Design Update Cañada Faculty
- 10/22/2015- BCA Presentation of Schematic Design to Cañada Faculty
- 11/6/2015- Facilities CIP 3 Program Update and Forum with Cañada Faculty and Staff
- 5/9/2016- Review of BCA Locker Room Options with Cañada Faculty



BCA Programming Matrix

Canada College Center for Kinesiology and Human Performance- Perfered Program

Ē

LOCKER ROOM- FEMALE	NUMBER OF STATIONS	REQUIRED SPACE / STATION (SF)	Square Feet (SF)							
Enterprise Program (150)										
Showers with no changing sation (changing space +50%)	10	40	396							
Water Closets	8	35	277							
Sinks	6	25	149							
Dry Grooming (36" linear each)	8	12	99							
Locker Frames w/interior bench (80% 1-door, 20% 2-door)	150	8	1,200							
Changing Rooms	3	20	59							
Towel Distribution / Collection Millwork	2	40	80							
Academic Program- Cañada College										
Student (50)										
Showers with no changing sation (changing space +50%)	3	40	120							
Water Closets	3	35	105							
Sinks	3	25	75							
Dry Grooming (36" linear each)	2	12	24							
Locker Frames w/interior bench (80% 1-door, 20% 2-door)	50	8	400							
Changing Rooms	1	20	20							
Towel Distribution / Collection Millwork	1	40	40							
Team Room	35	10	350							
Staff (10)										
Showers with no changing sation (changing space +50%)	2	40	80							
Water Closets	2	35	70							
Sinks	2	25	50							
Dry Grooming (36" linear each)	1	12	12							
Locker Frames wi interior bench (80% 1-door, 20% 2-door)	10	8	80							
Changing Rooms	2	20	40							
Towel Distribution / Collection Millwork	1	40	40							
TOTAL SPACE (SF)										
TOTAL CIRCULATION (SF)			14,963							
TOTAL INTERIOR SPACE WITH CIRCULATION (SF)			125,613							

Canada College Center for Kinesiology and Human Performance- Integrated Program

LOCKER ROOM- FEMALE	NUMBER OF STATIONS	SPACE / STATION (SF)	Square Feet (SF)
Enterprise Program (150)	JIANONS		Square reet (SP)
Showers with no changing sation (changing space +50%)	10	40	396
Water Closets	8	35	277
Sinks	6	25	149
Dry Grooming (36" linear each)	8	12	99
Locker Frames w/ interior bench (80% 1-door, 20% 2-door)	150	8	1.200
Changing Rooms	3	20	59
Towel Distribution / Collection Millwork	2	40	80
Academic Program- Cañada College	<u> </u>	10	
Student (50)			
Showers with no changing sation (changing space +50%)	3	40	120
Vater Closets	3	35	105
Sinks	3	25	75
Dry Grooming (36" linear each)	2	12	24
Locker Frames w/ interior bench (80% 1-door, 20% 2-door)	50	8	400
Changing Rooms	1	20	20
Towel Distribution / Collection Millwork	-	40	-
Team Room	35	10	350
Staff (5)			
Showers with no changing sation (changing space +50%)	2	40	40
Water Closets	2	35	35
Sinks	2	25	25
Dry Grooming (36" linear each)	1	12	12
Locker Frames w/ interior bench (80% 1-door, 20% 2-door)	10	8	40
Changing Rooms	2	20	20
Towel Distribution / Collection Millwork	0	40	
Female LR Size (SF)			3,526
TOTAL SPACE (SF)			62,139
TOTAL CIRCULATION (SF)			8,993
TOTAL INTERIOR SPACE WITH CIRCULATION (SF)			71,132

GRAND TOTAL (SF)

125,613

GRAND TOTAL

5

Schematic Design Site Plan





Ę

March 2015 Schematic Design





"FACILITIES EXCELLENCE"

Schematic Design Rendering





Team Room Layout- Option 2





Ē

Design Build Procurement Process





Cañada B1N Kinesiology and Wellness DBE Selection Team

District Representatives

- José D. Nuñez, Vice Chancellor, Facilities Planning, Maintenance & Operations
- Chris Strugar-Fritsch, Director of Capital Projects
- Yanely Pulido, Contracts Manager
- Joe Fullerton, Energy and Sustainability Manager

Campus Representatives

- Jamillah Moore, President
- Michelle Marquez, Vice President, Administrative Services
- Karen Pinkham, Interim Facilities Manager

Construction Management

- Anne Daley, Swinerton Management & Consulting, Program Director
- Greg Cheifetz, Swinerton Management & Consulting, Campus Construction Manager



RFSOQ Selection Process Schedule

Milestone	Date
RFSOQ Invitation Issued:	Monday, May 2, 2016
Mandatory Pre-Qualification Conference:	Tuesday, May 10 and Thursday, May 12, 2016
Last Day for Written RFI's:	Friday, May 20, 2016
Last Day to Issue Addenda:	Wednesday, May 25, 2016
Statement of Qualifications Due at District Office:	Thursday, June 2, 2016 between 12:00 p.m. and 2:00 p.m. sharp.
Issue RFP to Short List:	Monday, June 20, 2016
Interviews Held:	Week of August 1, 2016
Issue Notice of Intent to Award:	Tuesday, September 6, 2016
SMCCCD Board of Trustees Meeting (anticipated contract approval):	Wednesday, September 28, 2016
Issue Notice of Award:	Thursday, September 29, 2016
District Issues Notice to Proceed Letter:	October 2016



Cañada B1N Kinesiology and Wellness SOQ Master Evaluation Matrix

MASTER EVALUATION: RFSOQ # 86730 CAÑ B1 Kinesiology and Wellness/Aquatics Center Project

				DBE	I - DPI	R/LPA	s			DE	SE 2 -	Black	h/ELS		DBE 3 - Sundt/LPA Inc				DI	BE 4	- McC	arthy/	Kwan	Henmi	DBE 5 - Hunt/Gensler							DB	E 6 -	Turne	/KMI	D	DBE 7 - Lendlease/H				se/HO	ĸ		
Evaluation Criteria - as stated in the RFSOQ	Max Score	r1	r2	r3	r4	r5	Aven Revie Scor	ewver	rt	r2	r3	r4	r5	Average Reviewer Scores	r1	12	r3	r4	หร	Average Reviewer Scores	r1	r2	r3	r4	rs	Average Reviewer Scores	r1	r2	r3	r4	r5	Average Reviewer Scores	r1	r2	r3	r4	r5	Average Reviewer Scores	r1	r2	r3	r4		Average Reviewer Scores
Basic Information	Not Scored	ł																																										
Prequalification Questionnaire (Attach 1)	Pass/ Fail			PAS	s					F	PASS						PASS	5					PASS						PASS	3					PASS						PASS			
Key Personnel	100	90	100	90	98	95	94.6		90	100	90	95	95	94.0	80	100	95	90	95	92.0	70	100	85	90	90	67.0		70			85	82.0	65	90	90	85	80	82.0	65	80	85	80	80	78.0
DB Project Experience	100	100	100	95	90	100	97.0		85	80	95	90	85	87.0	75	80	95	95	85	86.0	70	70	95	80	75	78.0	80	70	95	90	85	84.0	90	80	90	85	80	85.0	80	80	95	85	85	85.0
CA School Project Experience	100	95	100	100	100	100	99.0		85	90	100	100	95	94.0	70	80	100	100	95	89.0	80	100	100	100	100	96.0	90	100	100	100	95	97.0	80	80	100	90	90	88.0	85	50	100	50	50	67.0
DSA Experience	100	95	100	100	100	100	99.0		95	100	100	100	100	99.0	85	100	100	100	95	96.0	90	100	100	100	90	96.0	80	90	100	100	90	92.0	85	90	100	100	90	93.0	55	40	100	90	80	73.0
Project Specific Requirements	100	85	100	100	100	100	97.0		100	100	100	98	100	99.6	95	80	100	100	90	93.0	90	90	100	100	95	95.0	80	90	100	95	85	90.0	60	80	100	100	85	85.0	80	90	100	95	90	91.0
Schedule	50	40	50	50	50	45	47.0		40	40	50	50	40	44.0	45	50	50	50	50	49.0	40	35	50	50	45	44.0	15	30	50	50	40	37.0	45	40	50	50	45	46.0	40	40	50	45	40	43.0
Applicant's Unique Quals	50	50	50	50	50	50	50.0		45	45	50	50	50	48.0	35	50	50	50	50	47.0	30	40	40	50	50	42.0	35	40	50	50	40	43.0	45	45	50	45	45	46.0	40	40	50	45	40	43.0
Applicant's Strategies	50	40	25	50	50	40	41.0		40	45	50	50	50	47.0	35	40	50	50	45	44.0	35	50	50	50	50	47.0	30	50	50	50	50	46.0	40	45	50	50	45	46.0	35	10	50	50	40	37.0
Response was clear, concise and responsive	25	20	20	25	25	20	22.0		25	20	25	25	25	24.0	20	20	25	25	25	23.0	15	5	25	25	20	18.0	20	20	25	25	20	22.0	15	25	25	25	20	22.0	20	20	25	25	15	21.0
Score TOTALS	675	615	645	660	663	650	647	7	605	620	660	658	640	637	540	600	665	660	630	619	520	590	645	645	615	603	495	560	665	655	590	593	525	575	655	630	580	593	500	450	655	565	520	538

*RFSOQ Section 6 – The three highest ranked firms who confirm their willingness and ability to continue in the process will receive the RFP.



RFP Selection Process Schedule

Milestone	Date
Issue RFP to Short List:	Monday, June 20, 2016
Pre-Proposal Mandatory Conference:	Thursday, June 30, 2016 at 9:00AM
Confidential Meetings:	Thursday, July 14: Sundt 8:00AM, DPR 1:30PM; Friday, July 15: Blach 8:00AM Thursday, July 21: 1:00 to 5:00 PM
Last Day to submit questions about RFP:	Wednesday, July 20, 2016
Final RFP Addendum issued:	Friday, July 22, 2016
Design-Build Proposals due at District Office:	Friday, July 29, 2016 between 12:00PM and 2:00PM
Proposing firms interviewed:	Thursday, August 4, 2016
Best and Final Offers Due:	Monday, August 8, 2016
Notice of Intent to Award:	Tuesday, August 9, 2016
Board Information Briefing:	Wednesday, August 24, 2016
Board Approval:	Wednesday, September 14, 2016



RFP Master Evaluation Matrix – Total Proposal Scores

MASTER EVALUATION SUMMARY: RFP 86730 CAÑADA BUILDING 1, NEW KINESIOLOGY AND WELLNESS BUILDING AND AQUATICS CENTER PROJECT

		DBE 1 - B	LACH / ELS	DBE 2 - SU	JNDT / LPA	DBE 3 - DPR / LPAS				
Evaluation Criteria (As stated in the RFP)	Max Score		Scores		Scores		Scores			
Technical Proposal	65		60		57		41			
Fee Proposal	30		30.0		30.0		29.6			
Proposal Score TOTALS	95		89.7		86.6		70.9			

**RFP* Section 9.12 – The winner will be the Proposer with the highest combined technical and fee scores.





Where We Are



"FACILITIES EXCELLENCE"



RIDGELINE VIEWS

SUN HAT

ROOF?

















































ROOF PLAN: SPORTS COURTS / FIELD DIAGRAM

0

60 120













()









(0)









- PROCESS

Information Gathering – Reports/Past Programming Documents/Space Planning Concepts/Current Building Plans.

Under the direction of SMCCD and Canada Leadership, identify and reach out to stakeholders critical to

Participatory Governance Process



Initiate Interviews with SMCCD and Canada Leadership/Coaching Staff, Instructors and Student Representatives to confirm needs/issues/goals

> Previously established for following activities: Kinesiology, Athletics, Dance and Physical Education



Record Needs/Issues/Goals and apply determine Priority.

Presentation to leadership results of interviews and priority ranking.

Prepare a memo report on findings.



identify potential "space partnering" opportunities, greater separation requirements, adjacency communication pluses and minus, potential resource sharing.

Prepare a memo report on findings



CONSENSUS BUILDING

Final Presentation on Adjacency Planning and Space Allocation





Where we're going- Preliminary project schedule



SAN MATEO COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

Where We're Going

•Team houses

- •Swing Space Planning
 - On-site
 - CSM: Off-site





Questions

