


Participatory Governance Survey 2024-2025

October 15, 2025

Prepared by the Office of Planning, Research & Institutional Effectiveness (PRIE)


For the Planning & Budgeting Council (PBC)

Response Rates




- # completed surveys down relative to other years
 - 2025 = 72
 - 2024 = 201*
 - 2023 = 96
 - 2022 = 88


Respondent Constituency (n=72)




Did you serve on a college participatory governance Council, Senate, or Committee during the 2024-25 year?



Rating of committee fulfilling their roles and responsibilities



Other service in 2024-2025



General Participatory Governance

4 = Strongly Agree

3 = Agree

2 = Disagree




- The campus community are encouraged to participate I have enough time to participate
- Roles and responsibilities are clear

Program Review

4 = Strongly Agree

3 = Agree

2 = Disagree



- I understand the program review process and its role in aligning program and college goals.
- I engage in dialogue with others in my department or service area about how to improve our program (via PLOs, SLOs, or SAOs)
- The program review process is an effective way to evaluate programs on campus to identify the future direction, needs and priorities of those programs.

Takeaways


- Time is a concern for most groups when it comes to participatory governance
- Largely the same results as last year, though part-time Faculty disagree less that roles and responsibilities are clear
- Generally program review seems to be understood and viewed as effective.
- Little to no change year over year

Budget

4 = Strongly Agree


3 = Agree

2 = Disagree



- I understand the College's annual resource request process and how it relates to both comprehensive program reviews and annual updates.
- Cañada College employees and students have adequate opportunities to participate in resource prioritization and budgeting.

I am aware of Cañada's goals for the College.




Planning

4 = Strongly Agree

3 = Agree

2 = Disagree



- The College works collaboratively towards the achievement of college goals.
- I am satisfied with the amount of opportunity I have to participate in college-wide planning.

Takeaways


- Generally the budget process is understood and participation is adequate
- Compared to last year, part-time faculty moved from disagree to agree on both budget questions
- Faculty are less aware of faculty goals compared to last year
- The results are extremely similar to last year

Overall Participatory Governance

4 = Strongly Agree

3 = Agree

2 = Disagree



- I feel the voices of the four major constituent groups of the College (students, faculty, classified staff, and administrators) are balanced in Cañada's participatory governance processes.
- Overall, the participatory governance process is working well at Cañada.