Cañada College Academic Senate

http://canadacollege.edu/academicsenate

Minutes 3/23/17 2:10pm – 4:00pm

Room 2-10

Meetings of the Academic Senate are open to all members of the public. Materials are posted on the meeting page of the Senate website.

No.	Item/Topic	Presenter	Time	Action
1	Call to Order		2:18	Procedure
2	Introductions Present: Doug Hirzel, Sarah Harmon, Dani Behonick, Hyla Lacefield, Monica Malamud, Sarah Aranyakul, Sandra Mendez, Ana Miladinova, Denise Erickson, STUD1, STUD2 Absent: Lisa Palmer Guests: Jai Kumar; Gregory Anderson; Erin Moore; Supinda Sirihekaphong		1	Information
3	Adoption of Agenda HL: Amend to move 7.5 to 7.2 M: Dani Behonick S: Hyla Lacefield Unanimous		1	Action
4	Adoption of Consent Agenda M: Dani Behonick S: Hyla Lacefield Unanimous		1	Action

Consent Agenda

All items on the consent agenda may, by unanimous vote of the Academic Senate members present, be approved by one motion after allowing for Senate member questions about a particular item. Prior to a motion for approval of the consent agenda, any Senate member, interested student, citizen or member of the staff may request that an item be removed from Consent to be discussed in the order listed, after approval of remaining items on the consent agenda.

4.1	Approval of Minutes: 3/9/17				
Public	Public Comment				
5	Questions/comments on non-agenda items	Public	5	Information	
Regula	ar Reports				
6.1	President's report – <u>posted online</u>	Hirzel	5	Information	
	Emphasis on ACES Coordinator position and Accreditation				
	Faculty Lead (2 applicants so far)				
6.2	Treasurer's report	Aranyakul	5	Information	
	Nothing to report				
6.3	Curriculum Committee	Behonick	5	Information	
	10/181 courses not started yet—absolute drop-dead date to				
	submit changes is 6 April—during Spring Break—so get on it!				
	Division reps are communicating with those faculty, as well				
	as Instructional Deans.				
6.4	Professional Development	Erickson	5	Information	
	GA: Professional Development Committee will actually be				
	meeting in person, as opposed to online, soon.				
	Business		1		
7.1	Invitation to review Board Policies: 2.06 Board Policy and	Hirzel	5	Information	
	Administrative Procedure; 2.07 Policy Development; 2.18				
	Student Participation in District and College Governance;				
	2.30 Political Activity				
	DH: 2.30 has been widely discussed, so there are no				
	changes, but it may be time to look at it due to political				
	climate.				
	 HL: students have a tendency to use current White 				
	House administration in creating new digital art. It's not				

	being done in a balanced waydoes this policy cover this? MM: So long as the curriculum is balanced and fair to all sides, students can do what they want. • DH: 2.18—reminder to ASCC to make sure to look at this.			
	2.06 and 2.07 don't have many changes, either; 2.06 has no governance input on this currently with respect to procedures, so we may want to visit this topic and require			
	that they go through governance for both policies and procedures. We will revisit these for Discussion in April mtgs.			
7.2	Inclusive Access – a program for reducing the financial	Jai Kumar	25	Discussion
→ ··-	barrier to course materials	odi italilai	20	Bioodooloii
7.3	See PPT from Jai Kumar (attached)			
	JK: Part of a larger movement to help students as much			
	as possible—including OER, new/used books, etc.			
	Cañada is the core campus of this project for the district,			
	and only a few CCs in California are doing this project.			
	 Big advantage is that students can get the materials 			
	in a variety of platforms, and they can get it for the first day of class.			
	 Faculty can choose their books based on content, not 			
	pricing. Faculty work with Jai to get the best			
	content/deal. If you have questions concerns, please			
	talk to Jai.			
	 Part of the contract includes that the publisher will 			
	offer a reduced price (no more than \$49) for a print			
	book if the student wants it.			
	 Digital content can easily be incorporated into 			
	Canvas, and students experience a seamless			
	transition.			
	 Students can opt out of the digital content if they 			
	really want, although they won't be able to opt back in			
	later—for now, at least.			
	 Faculty need to get your book orders in early!! It 			
	helps Jai to work with all publishers to get better			
	prices/deals.			
	Potential proposal from one publisher to do			
	installment payments for students, instead of all at			
	once.			
	DH: Late adds still get access? JK: Yes			
	DH: CollegeBuys.com offers internet access service for			
	students, \$24.99/mo, so they can get it if they need it. SA:			
	Comcast has Internet Essentials is even cheaper.			
	MM: There is also a proposal by Chancellor Galatolo to consider OFP, including faculty incentives to ansaying a			
	consider OER, including faculty incentives to encourage			
	adoptions of OER texts			
	 DH: <u>State Academic Senate discourages</u> stipends/incentives 			
7.3	Faculty Diversity Internship Program	Supinda	20	Discussion
7.3 →	 SS: Workgroup has been working on this for a bit over a 	Sirihekaphong	20	DiacussiUII
7.4	year, and this is the latest draft.	OnlineKapriorig		
	 Pilot would be marketed in F17, start S18. PT 			
	Coordinator on each campus will be needed, plus HR			
	staff.			
	Length of program is 1 semester; can be extended for			
	an additional semester so long as mentor agrees.			
	 Each college would get 2-4 interns in pilot year. 			
	 Interns should be from under-represented groups; 			
	this would be determined by District. Intern needs to			

	be enrolled in graduate program and completed half			
	of it; mentor needs to be tenured faculty.			
	Want competitive applications, with interns who want			
	to teach at a CC.			
	 There would be professional development workshops 			
	to get interns engaged in the campus community,			
	including pedagogy, and shadowing faculty mentors.			
	Responsibilities of interns and mentors are outlined in			
	the document, as well as the evaluation process and			
	compensation for interns and mentors.			
	Goes to Board for approval; would be up to the			
	colleges to work out the details.			
	DH: May be a typo on p. 3, Intern & Mentor			
	Responsibilities—interns have 10 hours of contact time			
	with mentor, but mentors have 11 hours? Campus			
	coordinators—document says could be classified staff or			
	faculty or administrator—but should be faculty so as to			
	maintain faculty input. Also: what does the coordinator do			
	for 3 FLC (7.5 hrs/wk)?			
	SS: Will address the issue of faculty (vs. classified)			
	staff or administrator) as coordinator, as well as the			
	responsibilities of coordinator.			
	DB: This is to bring in new faculty, and we already have a			
	significant adjunct workforce who is not eligible to			
	participate in this programbut need to bring it up here			
	again, since they are being left out and there is nothing in			
	place to help adjuncts.			
	SS: FDIP can work on this, especially opening the			
	workshops to adjuncts, but it will have to be			
	addressed at a different time.			
	 MM: agreed with DB, also hesitant to go forward with 			
	any initiative without proper compensation—while			
	there is compensation here, the workload issue			
	hasn't been addressed as a whole—and there is a			
	disadvantage to current adjuncts, so cannot support			
	this.			
	AM: Who chooses the interns? Also, the process seems			
	a bit unclear from the faculty side. There is benefit, but is			
	there an expectation that they will still teach?			
	SS: No expectations, and the process for selection is			
	in the draft.			
	•			
	normally would ask the student teacher to do much of the			
	teaching. For a college course, the curriculum is set by			
	the professor, and seems illogical to break up the			
	curriculum to hand over to the student teacher. Would like			
	to hear what kinds of classes this has worked in other			
	colleges that have FDIP, hear of successes.			
	 SS: Most are in English and Counseling, but other 			
	disciplines are covered.			
	SS: FDIP Workgroup will work on this more and get back			
	to us.		0.5	
7.4	Provide input into the working draft of the college's new	E. Moore	25	Discussion
→	Professional Learning plan			
7.5	EM: Getting preliminary feedback on goals and objectives			
	and potential activities for Professional Learning Plan.			
	 The IEPI/PRT visit brought out a Menu of Options, 			
	which include differing levels of professional learning			
	 The IEPI/PRT visit brought out a Menu of Options, 			

	needed; responsible groups; opportunities for all			
	constituency groups.			
	 Want alignment with EMP, and used draft strategic 			
	initiatives for EMP goals.			
	 Now in 'collect feedback' mode—send to EM 			
	directly—or can go to open forums, or give			
	comments/questions to PBC/ASGC representatives;			
	there will also be an anonymous survey.			
	 There is now a <u>PL webpage</u>, so info and documents 			
	are there.			
	 Plan will go to PBC for vote on 17 May. This first PL 			
	Plan is a prototype that is for 1 year—want to analyze			
	and reassess, and make changes as necessary.			
	o Goals are on handout on ASGC page—we will come			
	back to it at next meeting to give feedback—ideas			
	that could be added, changed, what should be kept.			
	 DH: Goal 1—talks of resources, but nothing on 			
	staffing, i.e. coordinators, etc. EM will look into			
	this.			
	DH: send all feedback to EM via email in the next week,			
	and we will see another draft of this on 13 April meeting.			
	EM: Goal 2, first group—we already have established			
	communities of practice, it's just to expand it. Also			
	mentoring/coaching is part of this.			
7.5	Proposed resolutions:	Hirzel	15	Discussion
\rightarrow	Maintaining and growing the number of FT faculty and			
7.2	adoption of a Faculty Vacancy Process			
	The role of not-for-credit education			
	Proposals page on the ASGC page shows table of proposed			
	resolutions with update on discussion and actions.			
	Maintaining and growing the full-time faculty workforce:			
	1			
	Need feedback on the last resolved re: Faculty Vacancy Pressess			
	Process			
	Faculty vacancy process:			
	HL: Is it 2 semesters to be approved, or 2 semesters to			
	apply? Unclear as written currently; need to refer to			
	beginning of the process			
	DH: should be 2 semesters to initiate—but if the			
	college says no, there can be an extension, so that			
	changes can be made. Also, 2 semesters may not be			
	enough, and it may be something that needs to be			
	revisited.			
	 HL: 2 semesters is fine, but there needs to be clearer 			
	language on what happens if the college doesn't			
	approve.			
	DH—need ask divisions to look at this. While we probably			
	can't approve it this semester, we need to have			
	something soon, so that as there are changes in the			
	administration faculty are protected.			
	The role of not-for-credit education:			
	DH: Advocate article that came out today on this—good			
	article that discuss this issue. There are cases where			
	CCCE and our faculty have worked together on programs			
	(SVIEP/ESL), but other issues have arisen (MEDA). This			
	resolution would clarify our position—we support CCCE,			
	but it can't be in conflict with our existing programs. But			
	the 'Resolved' clauses need to be reviewed by faculty			
	and by the Curriculum Committee (experimental			
	courses).			

Other 8.1 8.2	 HL: Good idea for the piloting of courses, but concern over pipelines and their efficacy; experience with supposed pipelines and the students don't always show up. We need to make sure that the data are there. DH: May be an added resolved, or somehow get that information. DB: Challenge is that because CCCCE isn't held to the same standards, they don't also collect the same data. DE: if a faculty member teaches in this style of course, does it count for load? DH: No, you're an independent contractor. HL: Workforce funds available for some of these courses. Finding out if these funds can be used for that. DH: But since they're short course—8-hour for many of them—it wouldn't make much of a dent into load. For all above proposed resolutions: DH: Please write amendments as appropriate, and please discuss the Advocate article with divisions/colleagues. Also, we did send CCCE resolution to Tom Bauer, and he was appreciative of being a part of the process. Will be discussing this at a future ASGC meeting. Reports, Meetings and Deadlines Division reports and concerns Upcoming Meetings A. Joint Division Meetings: Guided Pathways – April 28, 3 	Division Reps 3-142, 12-2 p.m.	10	Discussion
0.0				
8.3	Upcoming Conferences:			
	A. Partners in Excellence: Co-Creating and Outstanding Student Experience – ACCJC – April 4-7			
	http://accjc-conference.org			
9	Adjourn	Hirzel	4:06	Action
	Next Meeting			Apr. 13, 2017
	-			

In accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act and SB 751, minutes of the Cañada College Academic Senate will record the votes of all members as follows: (1) Members recorded as absent are presumed not to have voted; (2) the names of members voting in the minority or abstaining are recorded; (3) all other members are presumed to have voted in the majority.

Academic Senate for the 2016 – 2017 academic year:

President: Doug Hirzel; Vice President: Sarah Harmon; Secretary: Lisa Palmer; Treasurer: Sarah Aranyakul; Curriculum Committee: Danielle Behonick; Professional Development: Denise Erickson; Humanities and Social Sciences Division: Monica Malamud; Science and Technology Division: vacant; Business, Design & Workforce Division: Hyla Lacefield; Athletics, Library and Learning Resources: Ana Miladinova & Diana Tedone; Student Services: Sandra Mendez